

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/722,536	11/28/2003	Yoichi Yamada	723-1455	8321
27562 7590 01/26/2010 NIXON & VANDERHYE, P.C.			EXAMINER	
901 NORTH C	GLEBE ROAD, 11TH F	D'AGOSTINO, PAUL ANTHONY		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/26/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

Application/Control No.			Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
		YAMADA EŢ AL.			
		Art Unit			
Paul A. D'Agostino		3714			
			e		

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 15 De	cember 2009					
 Improper Request – The R reason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference	e will not be held for the following					
☐ The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pr lude reasons why a review is appropr is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief ,	riate.					
	nse continues to run from the receipt of mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal h						
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.							
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from cor		ows:					
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.							
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.							
All participants:							
(1) Paul A. D'Agostino.	(3) <u>Peter Vo</u> .						
(2) Dmitry Suhol.	(4)						
/Paul A. D'Agostino/ Examiner, Art Unit 3714	/Dmitry Suhol/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714	/Peter D. Vo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714					